

Technical University of Denmark



National and international renewable energy financing; what can we learn from experience in developing countries

Wohlgemuth, Norbert; Painuly, Jyoti Prasad

Publication date:
2008

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Wohlgemuth, N., & Painuly, J. P. (2008). National and international renewable energy financing; what can we learn from experience in developing countries. Paper presented at Climate change and energy access , Trinidad and Tobago.

DTU Library

Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.


Presentation at CASES Project Final Conference

30 Sept 2008, Milan

Social Cost of Electricity Generation in Non-EU Countries

Dr. Xianli Zhu
UNEP Risø Centre
Risø-DTU

Cumulative Investment in Energy Infrastructure in the Reference Scenario by Fuel and Region, 2006-2030



- The external costs, if not properly integrated in energy investment policy and decision-making, will have enormous impacts on the global environment

About WP7

Target: conduct life-cycle external cost analysis of different fuels in 5 non-EU countries, currently and till 2030, each country two fuel cycles.

The 6 Research Institutions Participating in WP7

Research Institutions	Short Name	Country
National Laboratory for Sustainable Energy	RISØ DTU	Denmark
Fundação COPPETEC	COPPETEC	Brazil
Indian Institute of Management Ahmedabad	IIMA	India
Energy Research Institute	ERI	China
Turkiye Bilimsel ve Teknik Arastirma Kurumu – Marmara Research Center. Institute of Energy	TUBITAK	Turkey

Work under WP7


Output of WP7

- Methodology report by Risoe in May 2007
- 6 reports of the country studies (China's coal and natural gas fuel cycles are in two separate reports) in late 2007
- A cross-country comparison report finished in Jan 2008

Differences from the studies in EU-countries

- First Non-EU component under ExternE
- Small budget for this part, based existing studies
- Methodology simplified

Research carried out under WP7



Comparison of the case studies by the different countries

Results of the Country Studies

- The country studies uses different currencies
- Different assumptions about external costs

Adjustments to improve comparability

- Currencies converted to Euros
- Standard NEED marginal damage costs have been applied

GHG	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	SF ₆
Marginal Damage Cost (2005 €/ton)	20.66	747.29	27202.90	1290.64

Results of the case studies (€ cent/kWh)

Fuel cycle	Brazil	China	India	Turkey
Coal		2.54	5.98	
Lignite				3.35
Natural gas	1.20	0.92		
Biodiesel				Unquantif.
Hydro dam	0 / 0.043 / 0.129			



Estimated external costs of coal fuel cycles

Fuel cycle	China € cent/kWh	India € cent/kWh	Turkey € cent/kWh
Coal	2.54	5.98	
Lignite			3.35

CO₂ price choice has major impact on total external costs:

	CO ₂ emissions (g/kWh)	Adjusted CO ₂ emission costs (€ cent/kWh)	% in total external cost	Total external cost (€ cent/kWh)
China	816.64	1.69	67%	2.54
India	1368.66	2.826	47%	5.976
Turkey	1031.53	2.132	64%	3.35

In China, tariff to coal-based power generation around 2.3 € cent/kWh, that of wind power, around 5 € cent/kWh, in a way the external costs is reflected in the tariff difference

Coal fuel cycles – external costs quantified and valuation

		Stage	Impacts quantified	Unit Price
China	Coal	Coal combustion	SO ₂ , NO _x , CO, CO ₂ , SP, Powdered Coal ash, Furnace Dust	China national standards on emission charges
India	Coal	Mining	CO ₂ , CH ₄ , RPM, SPM, SO ₂ , NO _x , Water*	SO ₂ and NO _x : prices from the US market
		Beneficiation	CO ₂ , SO ₂ , NO _x , Water*	RPM and SPM: an Indian study of human health impacts
		Transport	CO ₂ , SO ₂ , NO _x	
		Power generation	CO ₂ , SPM, SO ₂ , NO _x , Water*	GHG emissions: EU ETS price
		Ash disposal	CO ₂ , CH ₄ , SPM, SO ₂ , NO _x	Water: water price for industrial consumers in India.
Turkey	Lignite	Coal transport and Power generation	CO ₂ , SO ₂ , SO ₂ , TPS, liquid waste, solid waste	Results given in physical terms

External costs from coal combustion differ

		CO ₂	SPM	SO ₂	NO _x	Water	CO	Ash	Dust	Total cost
Emissions (g/kWh)	China	816.64	0.189	8.416	3.792	-	0.122	51.95	14.21	-
	India	1307.7	0.280	7.48	4.09	0.725	-	-	-	
	Turkey	999.3	0.22	6.08	2.25	-	-	-	-	
Unit costs (€ cents/kg)	China	2.066	20.5	55.8	74.4	-	0.214	1.116	0.93	-
	India	2.066	7071	44.12	117.4	0.862	-	-	-	
	Turkey	2.066	359.09	156.7	80.89	-	-	-	-	
External Costs (€ cents/kWh)	China	1.69	0.004	0.47	0.309	0.001	0.001	0.058	0.013	2.54
	India	2.70	1.98	0.33	0.48	0	-	-	-	5.49
	Turkey	2.065	0.079	0.953	0.182	-	-	-	-	3.279
• The differences are more because of various price assumptions than different emission levels										



Natural gas fuel cycles

Country	Brazil	China
Stages	Gas extraction and power generation	Power generation
Emissions	CO ₂ , CH ₄ and N ₂ O	SO ₂ , CO ₂ , NO _x , Suspended particles
Valuation	Increase in the incidence of respiratory diseases	China national standards on emission charges and US standard
Results	1.20	0.92

- The Brazil study quantified the external health costs during natural gas extraction and use for power generation
- The China case study focus on charges to be paid for emissions from natural gas based power generation



Brazil hydropower fuel cycle external costs

Mortality and morbidity cost

Statistical Value of Life: Calculated based on the EU statistical value of life for 1998 (\$3,250,000.00, in 1992 \$), per capita GDP, the parity of purchasing power, life expectancy, as well as health expenditures. US\$ 929,743.75

Disease cost Total = expenditure on treatment + value of work days lost
Increase in water-borne disease (avoided costs) = Avoided costs of leishmaniasis + avoided costs of dengue fever + avoided cost of malaria

Results of the Brazil Hydropower Health Impact Case Study

Construction & power generation	Unit	Local health system	Vector born diseases	Total cost
Rio Madeira	€ cent/kWh	0.070	0.059	0.129
Tucuruí	€ cent/kWh	-	0.043	0.043

Conclusions

- The existing studies mainly focus on emissions (GHGs, SO₂, NO_x, PM) or resource consumption (water, land) during fuel combustion
- The valuation is mainly based on emission charges set by the government, or shadow prices
- The ExternE damage function approach can only be applied based on detailed local context
- The external costs of GHG emissions, if valued based on EU ETS price, contribute to a high proportion of the total external cost of fossil fuel cycles
- The estimated results of different fuel cycles are generally in a reasonable range
- For more complete understanding, further study needs to be done



Thank you!